Optical nanoscale technologies often implement covalent or noncovalent strategies for the modification of nanoparticles, whereby both functionalizations are leveraged for multimodal applications but can affect the intrinsic fluorescence of nanoparticles. Specifically, single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) can enable real-time imaging and cellular delivery; however, the introduction of covalent SWCNT sidewall functionalizations often attenuates SWCNT fluorescence. Recent advances in SWCNT covalent functionalization chemistries preserve the SWCNT’s pristine graphitic lattice and intrinsic fluorescence, and here, such covalently functionalized SWCNTs maintain intrinsic fluorescence-based molecular recognition of neurotransmitter and protein analytes. The covalently modified SWCNT nanosensor preserves its fluorescence response towards its analyte for certain nanosensors, presumably dependent on the intermolecular interactions between SWCNTs or the steric hindrance introduced by the covalent functionalization that hinders noncovalent interactions with the SWCNT surface. These SWCNT nanosensors are further functionalized via their covalent handles with a targeting ligand, biotin, to self-assemble on passivated microscopy slides, and these dual-functionalized SWCNT materials are explored for future use in multiplexed sensing and imaging applications.

1. Introduction

Nanomaterials include nanoscale particles that have been leveraged for biological applications such as imaging, gene or drug delivery, and therapeutics.[1,2] Nanomaterials can offer advantages over biological materials for said applications due to their tunable physicochemical properties that enable the manipulation of nanoparticles with different chemistries to create multiple modalities on a single particle. In particular, single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) have been used as cellular delivery vehicles, fluorescent nanosensors, and implantable diagnostics.[3–6] Covalent and noncovalent SWCNT surface modifications enable the dispersion of hydrophobic SWCNTs in aqueous solution for their use as delivery agents and as nanosensors: covalent chemical functionalization has been used to add synthetic handles to attach cargo useful for molecular recognition and targeted delivery,[7–9] whereas noncovalent chemical functionalization is a preferred approach for sensing applications to preserve the SWCNT’s intrinsic fluorescent properties. As with many other classes of nanoparticles, chemical functionalization of the SWCNT surface will often affect the nanoparticle’s various optical, physical, and material properties.[10]

SWCNTs are an attractive class of nanomaterial for sensing applications, owing to the unique near-infrared (NIR) fluorescence of SWCNTs in the short wavelength infrared range of \( \approx 1000–1300 \) nm. This region of NIR fluorescence is optimal for biological imaging, as the long wavelength light is minimally attenuated in biological tissues via reduced scattering and absorption of photons.[11,12] For SWCNT use as molecular nanosensors, it is necessary to preserve the intrinsic NIR fluorescence that arises from Van Hove transitions resulting from the density of states of the SWCNT lattice.[13] This fluorescence has been employed to develop a class of nanosensors that undergo fluorescence modulation upon selective binding of bioanalytes such as neurotransmitters, reactive nitrogen species, metabolites, peptides, and proteins.[14–19] The selectivity of these varied SWCNT nanosensors is created through a phenomenon termed corona phase molecular recognition (CoPhMoRe). CoPhMoRe nanosensors are capable of binding specific analytes through a constrained surface-adsorbed state formed by the noncovalent association of the SWCNT surface and a coating, such as polymer or phospholipid, not necessarily known to bind the analyte of interest. Interaction between the SWCNT surface and target analyte is mediated by the coating, which leads to the perturbation of the electronic characteristics of the SWCNT resulting in a measurable fluorescence.
change. While SWCNT-based nanosensors generated with CoPhMoRe have shown recent success for imaging analytes in vivo,[6,20,21] and for ex vivo imaging neurormodulation in acute brain slices,[12] their use has involved undirected biodistribution of SWCNTs in the tissue under investigation. For the purposes of tissue-specific or targeted sensing, inclusion of targeting moieties such as aptamers or proteins can be achieved via direct covalent attachment to SWCNT surfaces. Covalent chemistries offer several advantages over noncovalent chemistries for this attachment such as the formation of a strong covalent bond between the SWCNT surface and the targeting moiety, and the preservation of the targeting moiety’s structure through the availability of biocompatible bioconjugation attachment chemistries.[23] However, given that covalent modifications to SWCNTs often compromise intrinsic fluorescence, to date, simultaneous covalent and noncovalent functionalization of the SWCNT surface for sensing applications remains an outstanding challenge.

Obstacles for simultaneous covalent and noncovalent functionalization of fluorescent SWCNTs arise from surface defects created by covalent reactions. When the sp²-hybridized carbon lattice of the SWCNT surface is disrupted via covalent modification, often non-radiative exciton recombination predomi-

nates, attenuating or obliterating SWCNT fluorescence which often compromise intrinsic fluorescence, to date, simultaneous covalent and noncovalent functionalization of the SWCNT surface for sensing applications remains an outstanding challenge.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Generating Covalent SWCNT Nanosensors

We generated defect-free covalently functionalized SWCNTs as previously reported[29,30] by performing a chemical re-aromatization reaction using unfunctionalized SWCNTs (pristine-SWCNTs) and cyanuric chloride to produce triazine-functionalized SWCNTs at low and high-labeling densities, denoted Trz-L-SWCNTs and Trz-H-SWCNTs, respectively. The densities of functional groups were measured for each functionalization condition using elemental analysis (Table S1, Supporting Information). Trz-H-SWCNTs were further functionalized through nucleophilic substitution of the chlorine on the triazine with a primary amine to create a library of surface-functionalized SWCNTs at positions denoted by variable R groups (Figure 1a,b). In this manner, we reacted Trz-H-SWCNTs with cysteine to form thiol-functionalized SWCNTs (SH-SWCNTs).

We next assessed the impact of the triazine and thiol covalent SWCNT surface functional groups on the performance of CoPhMoRe nanosensors generated from Trz-L-SWCNTs, Trz-H-SWCNTs, and SH-SWCNTs (Figure 2a). We tested several previously reported SWCNT-based nanosensors to image dopamine, fibrinogen, and insulin analytes. Specifically, when noncovalently adsorbed to the SWCNT surface, single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) oligomers (GT)_{15} and (GT)_{6} form known nanosensors for dopamine,[14,31] DPPE-PEG5K phospholipid for fibrinogen,[17] and C_{16}-PEG2k-ceramide phospholipid for insulin.[18] To generate each nanosensor, we induced coating through amino-π aromatic stabilization and hydrophobic packing via probe-tip sonication or dialysis as previously established (see Section 4 for details). We prepared dopamine, fibrinogen, and insulin nanosensors with both pristine and functionalized SWCNTs. We expand upon the findings of previous literature that covalently-functionalized Trz-L-SWCNTs, Trz-H-SWCNTs, and SH-SWCNTs maintain their intrinsic optical properties

Figure 1. Functionalization of SWCNTs for nanosensor generation. a) Functional groups are added to Trz-H-SWCNT via a nucleophilic substitution reaction whereby primary amines replace the chlorines of the triazine group. b) Overview of the different functional groups and SWCNT coatings tested to create multifunctional fluorescent nanosensors.
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and show that this remains the case when dispersed with their respective surfactant, phospholipid, or polymer coatings (Figures S1 and S2, Supporting Information), prior to assessing their use as fluorescent optical nanosensors. All nanosensors remained in solution 3 months post-synthesis, with no noticeable trends on the instability of functionalized SWCNTs relative to pristine-SWCNTs (Figure S3, Supporting Information).

2.2. Comparing Performance of Covalent SWCNT Nanosensors to Pristine Nanosensors

By comparing the performance of nanosensors generated from pristine versus functionalized SWCNTs, we quantified functionalization-dependent fluorescence performance of nanosensors upon exposure to their respective analytes of dopamine, fibrinogen, and insulin (Figure 2b). We measured the fluorescence change of 5 mg L⁻¹ phospholipid or polymer suspended pristine-SWCNT, Trz-L-SWCNT, Trz-H-SWCNT, and SH-SWCNT nanosensors upon exposure to their respective analytes. Responses were measured before and 10–30 min after the addition of 100 µM dopamine to (GT)₁₅- and (GT)₆-SWCNT nanosensors, 1 mg mL⁻¹ of fibrinogen to DPPE-PEG₅k-SWCNT nanosensors, and 20 µg mL⁻¹ insulin to C₁₆-PEG₂k-ceramide-SWCNT nanosensors. Changes in fluorescence were calculated and normalized to the changes measured in pristine-SWCNT nanosensors to determine how surface functionalization impacts CoPhMoRe sensing (see Section 4).

The performance of (GT)₁₅ dopamine nanosensors decreased when covalently functionalized SWCNTs were used as compared to pristine-SWCNTs. We calculated the fluorescence change ($Δ{I}_0$) for our covalently-functionalized SWCNTs and then normalized against the $Δ{I}_0$ of the pristine SWCNTs (to see absolute $Δ{I}_0$ before normalization refer to Figure S4, Supporting Information). This analysis yielded normalized fluorescence response for (GT)₁₅-Trz-L-SWCNTs (0.661 ± 0.118, mean ± SD), (GT)₁₅-Trz-H-SWCNTs (0.613 ± 0.083, mean ± SD), and (GT)₁₅-SH-SWCNTs (0.207 ± 0.095, mean ± SD), compared to a nanosensor fluorescence response for the original dopamine nanosensor constructed from (GT)₁₅-pristine-SWCNTs (1.0 ± 0.121, mean ± SD). Previous molecular simulations postulate that the longer polymer (GT)₁₅ forms a helical conformation on the surface of the nanotube, while the shorter polymer (GT)₆ forms rings on the surface.[32] To assess the impact of ssDNA polymer length on adsorption to a covalently functionalized SWCNT surface, we tested the dopamine responsivity of (GT)₆-SWCNTs, Trz-H-SWCNTs, and SH-SWCNTs noncovalently functionalized with (GT)₆ versus (GT)₁₅ ssDNA. We hypothesize that the corona adopted by the ring-forming (GT)₆ is less sterically perturbed by the addition of chemical functional groups on the SWCNT surface. Unlike dopamine nanosensors generated from (GT)₁₅, we found that the normalized nanosensor fluorescence responses for (GT)₆-suspended Trz-L-SWCNTs, Trz-H-SWCNTs, and SH-SWCNTs exhibited a recovery of fluorescence response: $Δ{I}_0$ for (GT)₆-Trz-L-SWCNTs (0.844 ± 0.051, mean ± SD), (GT)₆-Trz-H-SWCNTs
(0.974 ± 0.073, mean ± SD), and (GT)15-SH-SWCNTs (0.396 ± 0.031, mean ± SD), are all closer to the fluorescence response for the dopamine nanosensor constructed from (GT)16-pristine-SWCNTs (1.0 ± 0.026, mean ± SD) compared to functionalized SWCNT dopamine sensors generated with (GT)15. The normalized ΔI/Δt performance of (GT)16-based dopamine nanosensors respond to insulin. Similarly, C16-PEG2k-ceramide-coated SWCNT dopamine sensors generated with (GT)15. The normalized ΔI/Δt performance of (GT)6-based dopamine ssDNA oligomers. (GT)6-SH-SWCNT nanosensors still exhibit lent SWCNT surface modifications than longer helix-forming ssDNA oligomers. (GT)6-SH-SWCNT nanosensors still exhibit a greatly attenuated fluorescence response to dopamine, which could be due to the bulkier thiol functional groups as compared to the chlorine of the triazine SWCNTs or potential intermolecular interactions. Specifically, intermolecular interactions such as potential disulfide bridging between thiol groups could affect nanosensor performance. Attempts to study disulfide bridging in the system were inconclusive, as the addition of reducing agents to SWCNTs interferes with SWCNT fluorescence modulation (Figure S5, Supporting Information).[33] We further confirmed that differences in nanosensor performance are not due to intrinsic differences in baseline fluorescence of the concentration-normalized SWCNT samples (Figure 2c–f).

To further probe the effect of steric contributions to noncovalent CoPhMoRe corona formation on the SWCNT surface, we tested the fluorescence response of phospholipid DPPE-PEG5k-SWCNT fibrinogen nanosensors. We expect that the adsorption of phospholipids, which adopt a self-assembled membrane-like structure on SWCNT surfaces, will be relatively sterically unhindered when binding to covalently-functionalized SWCNTs.[34,35] Upon addition of 1 mg mL⁻¹ fibrinogen, we observed equivalent fluorescence responses of fibrinogen based on covalently-functionalized SWCNT nanosensors relative to those made from pristine-SWCNTs, corroborating that steric effects contribute to nanosensor attenuation when SWCNTs are suspended with conformationally-dependent amphiphilic polymers such as ssDNA. DPPE-PEG5k coated Trz-L-SWCNTs (1.268 ± 0.514, mean ± SD), Trz-H-SWCNTs (1.506 ± 0.575, mean ± SD), and SH-SWCNTs (1.282 ± 0.358, mean ± SD) all maintained their response to fibrinogen, compared to the original fibrinogen nanosensor constructed from DPPE-PEG5k coated pristine-SWCNTs (1.0 ± 0.097, mean ± SD). The variance observed for the phospholipid nanosensors after normalization is a result of the data processing and is not statistically significant (Figure S4, Supporting Information). We also tested another phospholipid-based CoPhMoRe nanosensor, C16-PEG2k-ceramide-SWCNT, which responds to insulin. Similarly, C16-PEG2k-ceramide-coated Trz-L-SWCNTs (1.220 ± 0.561, mean ± SD), Trz-H-SWCNTs (1.101 ± 0.186, mean ± SD), and SH-SWCNTs (1.482 ± 0.617, mean ± SD) all maintained their response to insulin, compared to a nanosensor fluorescence response for the native insulin nanosensor constructed from pristine-SWCNTs (1.0 ± 0.157, mean ± SD). We hypothesize that phospholipid-based nanosensor responses are maintained with covalently functionalized SWCNTs because phospholipids are smaller molecules than amphiphilic polymers and may pack more unhindered on the surface of the SWCNT, maintaining a corona similar to that formed on pristine-SWCNTs.

2.3. Tuning the Intrinsic Properties of Covalently Functionalized SWCNTs

We next investigated how the intrinsic properties of the covalent functionalization, such as charge, affect the formation of noncovalent coatings on the SWCNT surface. We generated SWCNTs with a positive charge through covalent addition of ethylenediamine (NH₂-SWCNTs) and, separately, SWCNTs with a negative charge through covalent addition of glycine (COOH-SWCNTs) to the triazine handles of Trz-H-SWCNTs (Figure 3a). We confirmed the generation of these charged SWCNT constructs through elemental analysis, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, and Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (Table S1, Figures S6 and S7, Supporting Information). We sought to assess whether the (GT)15-SWCNT dopamine nanosensor yields, as a proxy for coating stability, would be affected by the surface charges using a methanol-driven coating exchange method.[16,17] We measured yield as the mass percentage of SWCNT recovered following the exchange protocol. We show that the yield of (GT)15 coated SWCNTs is highest for the positively charged NH₂-SWCNTs (Figure 3b), as expected given the negative charge of (GT)15 (Figure S8, Supporting Information).
The positive charge of the amine group on the surface of NH2-SWCNTs presumably favors the association with negatively charged (GT)15, and displays a 22.9% nanosensor yield that is significantly higher than those of pristine-SWCNTs and COOH-SWCNTs (p < 0.05, uncorrelated independent Student t-test). The yields for (GT)15-coated pristine-SWCNTs and COOH-SWCNTs were less than 10% and not significantly different (p-value = 0.47 > 0.05, uncorrelated independent Student t-test), suggesting (GT)15 is more stably adsorbed to NH2-SWCNTs than to COOH- or pristine-SWCNTs. We attribute the lower ssDNA-COOH-SWCNT yield to the negatively charged COOH-SWCNT, which electrostatically repels the negative (GT)15 polymer. These results indicate that coating formation could be driven or hindered by the intrinsic charge properties of the covalent functional group.

To demonstrate that the charge properties of NH2 and COOH covalently functionalized SWCNTs can be maintained, we suspended COOH-SWCNTs, NH2-SWCNTs, and pristine-SWCNTs with a neutral (uncharged) phospholipid, C16-PEG2k-ceramide. The zeta potentials, which characterize the electrokinetic potential at the slipping plane and provide a proxy for particle surface charge, were measured for these three SWCNT constructs with C16-PEG2k-ceramide coatings. C16-PEG2k-ceramide coated COOH-SWCNTs (~23.8 mV ± 0.501, mean ± SD) displayed the most negative zeta potential and C16-PEG2k-ceramide coated NH2-SWCNTs (9.38 mV ± 0.446, mean ± SD) displayed the most positive zeta potential, as compared to C16-PEG2k-ceramide coated pristine-SWCNTs (~20.1 mV ± 0.468, mean ± SD) (Figure 3c and Figure S9a, Supporting Information). We repeated this experiment with a negatively charged (GT)15 polymer coating instead, and measured the zeta potentials for pristine-SWCNTs (~55.0 mV ± 3.69, mean ± SD), COOH-SWCNTs (~70.39 mV ± 5.33, mean ± SD), and NH2-SWCNTs (~73.1 mV ± 2.54, mean ± SD). As expected, all complexes showed a more negative surface charge than the complexes formed with the C16-PEG2k-ceramide coating (Figure 3d and Figure S9b, Supporting Information). Tuning of intrinsic properties of SWCNT surfaces in this manner could aid SWCNT design for cellular delivery applications, where charge is a driving factor for nanoparticle internalization and subsequent cytotoxicity.[38]

2.4. Dual Functional SWCNT Nanosensors with Molecular Targeting

Finally, we tested the use of covalent modifications to provide additional function to optical SWCNT nanosensors. Covalent attachment offers a method for the addition of molecular recognition elements such as antibodies and nanobodies, targeting modalities, and therapeutics.[6,39] We explored this dual functionality of SWCNTs through the attachment of biotin as an affinity pair with avidin protein as a model system (Figure 4a). Biotin is known to form a high affinity noncovalent association with the avidin protein and its analogues such as neutravidin and streptavidin.[40] We generated biotin-SWCNTs by covalently attaching amine-PEG2k-biotin to SWCNTs through the triazine handles of Trz-H-SWCNTs (Figure 1a). Biotin-SWCNTs were then coated with (GT)15 ssDNA polymers to test the use of multifunctional SWCNTs.

We performed an affinity assay with streptavidin-coated magnetic beads to verify the attachment of biotin to the SWCNT surface. A significantly higher amount of (GT)15-biotin-SWCNTs remained bound to magnetic beads (23.0% ± 4.0, mean ± SD) than pristine-SWCNTs (0.1% ± 5.0, mean ± SD) (Figure 4b). The attachment of biotin to SWCNTs was further validated through atomic force microscopy (AFM). AFM was used to visualize the presence of neutravidin protein along the length of individual (GT)15-biotin-SWCNTs (Figure 4c). SWCNT heights were measured as 0.5–1 nm and the neutravidin protein heights were measured as ≈4–6 nm similar to previous reports.[19,41] We found that 58.9% of (GT)15-biotin-SWCNTs (66 out of 112 SWCNTs total from multiple fields of view) as compared to 38.8% (GT)15-pristine-SWCNTs (19 out of 49 SWCNTs total from multiple fields of view) appear to bind neutravidin protein (Figure 4d). Discrepancies in the magnitude of protein bound between the affinity assay and AFM measurements are due to the

Figure 4. Covalent modification of SWCNTs with amine-PEG2-biotin adds functional handles for avidin protein attachment. a) (GT)15-biotin-SWCNTs bind tetrameric avidin proteins such as neutravidin and streptavidin. b) A mass balance shows a higher percentage of bound (GT)15-biotin-SWCNTs on streptavidin beads compared to (GT)15-pristine-SWCNTs alone. c) AFM images show neutravidin protein bound to (GT)15-biotin-SWCNTs. d) (GT)15-biotin-SWCNTs immobilized on a neutravidin-coated microscopy surface (inset) and imaged with a near-infrared epifluorescence microscope with 721 nm excitation shows fluorescence response following exposure to 25 μM dopamine (addition denoted by the red arrow). The black line denotes the average fluorescence trace with individual microscopic regions of interest denoted by the gray lines.
physical state of the experiments: the magnetic bead affinity assay is conducted in solution with constant mixing, while AFM is performed on a dehydrated surface susceptible to nonspecific protein deposition and salt artifacts. Lastly, we tested the ability to use (GT)$_{15}$-biotin-SWCNTs for dopamine imaging. (GT)$_{15}$-biotin-SWCNTs were deposited onto a microscope slide surface-functionalyzed with a neutravidin monolayer, then the surface-bound nanosensors were exposed to 25 µM dopamine (Figure S10, Supporting Information). As shown in Figure 4d, exposure to dopamine generated an integrated fluorescence response $\Delta I/I_0 = 0.8658 \pm 0.1986$ (mean ± SD), suggesting that SWCNTs that are dual-functionalyzed with both covalent handles and noncovalent polymeric ligands can be used for analyte-specific imaging applications. Taken together, these results suggest the potential of using covalent functional groups on SWCNTs for multifunctional applications.

3. Conclusion

We generated and characterized the properties of optically active, covalently functionalized SWCNTs for use as fluorescent nanosensors. Comparisons of covalently functionalyzed SWCNT to pristine-SWCNT showed that the introduction of chemical handles could impact a SWCNT-based nanosensor response to its analyte, depending on the structural perturbation of the noncovalent coating adsorption upon corona formation. Nanosensors generated with long amphiphilic polymers showed the greatest analyte-specific fluorescence response attenuation following covalent addition of chemical handles on the SWCNT surface, whereas nanosensors generated from phospholipid-based coronas did not exhibit analyte-specific fluorescence response attenuation. Notably, following covalent functionalization of the SWCNT, all SWCNT complexes successfully formed through the noncovalent association of amphiphilic polymers or phospholipids, and were still capable of acting as optical nanosensors. These results validate the use of covalently functionalized SWCNTs as CoPhMoRe fluorescent nanosensors with unique analyte specificity, corona stability, and spatiotemporal readout appropriate for in vivo and ex vivo imaging.

This study further suggests the promise of utilizing dual covalent and noncovalent SWCNT functionalizations to create multifunctional nanoscale tools. The surface charge of SWCNT complexes can be altered via addition of covalent handles without perturbation of the SWCNT fluorescence, enabling CoPhMoRe-based sensing together with chemical handles for charge-based functionalizations and addition of ligands based on electrostatics. Furthermore, we show successful covalent attachment of the targeting moiety biotin to (GT)$_{15}$-SWCNT dopamine nanosensors and show the biotin group can be used for surface-immobilized dopamine imaging with near-infrared microscopy. These results demonstrate the possibility of dual covalent and noncovalent SWCNT functionalization for multiplexed purposes with future applications in targeted delivery, theranostics, targeted fluorescence imaging, and towards understanding the fate of functionalized SWCNTs upon cellular delivery.

4. Experimental Section

Materials: All chemicals unless otherwise noted were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. High raw pressure carbon monoxide (HiPCO) synthesized SWCNTs were purchased from Nanointegris. DPPE-PEG5k (1,2-Dipalmitoyl-sn-Glycerol-3-Phosphoethanolamine-1-[methoxy(polyethylene glycol)5000] and C$_{16}$PEG2-Ceramide (N-palmitoyl-sphingosine-1-[succinyl[methoxy(polyethylene glycol)2000]]) phospholipids were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids. EZ-Link amine-PEG$_2$-biotin and neutravidin protein was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Streptavidin magnetic beads were purchased from New England BioLabs.

Synthesis of Triazine-Functionalized SWCNTs (Trz-H-SWCNTs and Trz-L-SWCNTs): Synthesis of Trz-SWCNTs was adapted from previous literature.[29] In brief, SWCNTs (1 g) were dispersed in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (150 mL) in a round bottom flask and bath sonicated for 1 h. The dispersion was stirred for 1 h at 25 °C and cooled down to 0 °C. 2,4,6,1,3,5-trichloro-triazine (50 g, 54 mmol) was dissolved in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (50 mL) and the obtained solution was slowly added to the SWCNT dispersion at 0 °C. Sodium azide (1.76 g, 27 mmol) was added to the mixture and stirred for 2 h at 0 °C followed by 12 h stirring at either 70 or 25 °C to yield Trz-H-SWCNTs or Trz-L-SWCNTs, respectively. The product was purified by centrifugation and washed by redispersion in water and organic solvents (acetone, toluene, then chloroform), and lyophilized for storage and characterization. The triazine functionalization was previously characterized by elemental analysis, XPS, thermogravimetric analysis, Raman, and IR spectroscopy.[31]

Synthesis of SH-SWCNTs or Charged SWCNTs (NH$_2$-SWCNTs and COOH-SWCNTs): Trz-H-SWCNTs (10 mg) were dispersed in dimethylformamide (DMF) (5 mL) and bath sonicated for 15 min at room temperature. Next, 1 mg of either cytine, ethylenediamine, or glycine (for SH-SWCNTs, NH$_2$-SWCNTs, and COOH-SWCNTs, respectively) and a 1.5 µM excess of triethyamine to chemical were added to the mixture that was stirred at 65 °C for 2 days. The product was purified by centrifugation and redispersion in washes of DMF (2 × 4 mL) followed by washes of water (2 × 4 mL). SH-SWCNTs were dialyzed against water using a Slide-A-Lyzer G2 10 kDa molecular cutoff dialysis cassette (Thermo Scientific) with daily water changes for 1 week. The product was lyophilized for storage and characterization.

Synthesis of Biotin-SWCNTs: Trz-H-SWCNTs (5 mg) were dispersed in DMF (2 mL) and bath sonicated for 15 min at room temperature. A solution of EZ-Link amine-PEG$_2$-biotin in DMF (25 mg mL$^{-1}$) was made up. Amine-PEG$_2$-biotin solution (500 µL) and triethyamine (86 µL) were added to the Trz-H-SWCNT solution. The mixture was stirred at 65 °C for 2 days. The product was purified by centrifugation and redispersion in washes of DMF (2 × 4 mL) followed by washes of water (2 × 4 mL). The product was then dialyzed against water using a Slide-A-Lyzer G2 10 kDa molecular cutoff dialysis cassette (Thermo Scientific) with daily water changes for 1 week. The product was pelleted by centrifugation and lyophilized for storage and characterization.

Elemental Analysis: Elemental analyses (C, H, N, S) were obtained at the Microanalytical Laboratory at the University of California, Berkeley on a Perkin Elmer 2400 Series II cumbusion analyzer. Based on the classical Pregi-Dumas method, samples are combusted in a pure oxygen environment, with the resultant combustion gases measured in an automated fashion. The degree of functional groups (DFG) was calculated by determining the total mass of N or S atoms within the functional group divided by either the total N or S content of the product, respectively. This value was then divided by the remaining C content of the product. The geometric mean DFG was reported assuming one functional arm or two functional arms on each triazine group.

Noncovalent Adsorption of Polymer and DPPE-PEG5k Coatings to SWCNTs by Probe-Tip Sonication: (GT)$_{15}$, (GT)$_{6}$, and DPPE-PEG5k SWCNT sensors were constructed through probe-tip sonication. SWCNTs (1 mg) were added to 1 × phosphate buffered saline (PBS, 500 µL, pH 7.4) and polymer (1 mg) or DPPE-PEG5k (1 mg). The solution was bath sonicated for 10 min, and then probe-tip sonicated using a Cole Parmer ultrasonic processor and a 3 mm stepped microtip probe with
pulses of 3–7 W every 3 s for 15 min. The solution was subsequently allowed to equilibrate on the bench at room temperature for 1 h before centrifugation at 16.1 \( \times \) 10\(^3\) relative centrifugal force (RCF) for 30 min to remove any unsuspended nanotube aggregates. Sensors formed a homogeneous dark-gray solution and concentration was characterized by UV–vis–IR absorbance using a Shimadzu UV-3600 Plus. SWCNT concentration was calculated from absorbance at 632 nm using Beer–Lambert law with extinction coefficient, \( \varepsilon_{632} = 0.036 \text{ L mg}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \) [12].

Noncovalent Adsorption of Sodium Cholate to SWCNT (SC-SWCNT): SWCNTs (3 mg) were added to 1 wt% sodium cholate (SC, 3 mL). The solution was bath sonicated for 10 min, and then probe-tip sonicated using a 500 W Cole Parmer Ultrasonic Homogenizer and a 6 mm stepped microtip probe at 10% amplitude every 2 s for 60 min. The solution was subsequently allowed to equilibrate at room temperature for 1 h before centrifugation at 23.1 \( \times \) 10\(^3\) RCF for 50 min to remove any unsuspended nanotube aggregates. Assemblies formed a homogeneous dark-gray solution and concentration was characterized by UV–vis–IR absorbance using a Shimadzu UV-3600 Plus. SWCNT concentration was calculated from absorbance at 632 nm using Beer–Lambert law with extinction coefficient, \( \varepsilon_{632} = 0.036 \text{ L mg}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \) [12].

Noncovalent Adsorption of C\(_{16}\)-PEG2k-Ceramide to SWCNT Through Dialysis: A solution of SC-SWCNTs (25 mg \( \text{L}^{-1} \)) and C\(_{16}\)-PEG2k-ceramide (2 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) in 1% sodium cholate was added to a 1 kDa molecular weight cutoff dialysis cartridge (GE Healthcare). The solution was dialyzed against water with multiple water exchanges for a week, such that the C\(_{16}\)-PEG2k-ceramide exchanged the sodium cholate coating by adsorbing onto the nanotube surface and replacing the small surfactant molecules.

Near Infrared Spectroscopy of SWCNT Nanosensors: All SWCNT nanosensor solutions were diluted to a final SWCNT concentration of 5 mg \( \text{L}^{-1} \) in 1 \( \times \) PBS. Spectroscopic analysis was performed by measuring the resulting SWCNT photoluminescence with a home-built near infrared fluorescence microscope. Briefly, a Zeiss Axiosiovision inverted microscope was coupled to a Princeton Instruments IsoPlane 320 containing a liquid nitrogen-cooled Princeton Instruments PyLoN-IR 1D InGaAs array. SWCNT sensors were illuminated by a 500 mW, 721 nm laser. The spectra of SWCNT sensors were acquired, each in a separate well of a glass-bottom 384-well plate (Corning). Fluorescence spectra were compared between the covalently functionalized SWCNTs as previously reported for each nanosensor [14,17,18,42]. Briefly, for (GT)\(_{15}\)-SWCNT and (GT)\(_{3}\)-SWCNT nanosensors, the change was compared in the (7.6) chirality peak at 1122 nm. For DPPE-PEG2k nanosensors, the change in intensity of the joint (9.4) and (7.6) chirality peaks at 1118 nm was compared. C\(_{16}\)-PEG2k-ceramide nanosensor spectra were deconvoluted into separate chirality contributions and the integrated intensity under the full spectra for the (10.2) chirality centered around 1053 nm was compared.

X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy: Samples were drop cast onto the surface of a clean silicon wafer. XPS spectra were collected with a PHI 5600/ESCA system equipped with a monochromatic Al K\( \alpha \) radiation source (\( h\nu = 1486.6 \text{ eV} \)). High-resolution XPS spectra were deconvoluted with MultiPak software (Physical Electronics) by centering the C–C peak to 284.5 eV, constraining peak centers to \( \pm 0.1 \text{ eV} \) the peak positions reported in previous literature [45], constraining full width at half maxima \( \leq 0.15 \text{ eV} \), and applying Gaussian–Lorentzian curve fits with the Shirley background.

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy: Infrared spectra were measured on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 100 Optica FTIR spectrometer equipped with an attenuated total reflectance (ATR) accessory. Purified and vacuum-dried SWCNT samples was deposited to the surface of the ATR and scanned.

Methanol Exchange of Phospholipid to DNA Coating: Methanol-driven exchange of phospholipid to DNA coating was performed to enable to quantify measure starting and final quantities of SWCNTs by absorbance spectroscopy. The initial suspension yields for C\(_{16}\)-PEG2k-Ceramide-coated SWCNTs were comparable for pristine-SWCNTs (214.7 mg \( \text{L}^{-1} \pm 65.7, \text{mean} \pm \text{SD} \)), COOH-SWCNTs (241.6 mg \( \text{L}^{-1} \pm 50.4, \text{mean} \pm \text{SD} \)), and NH\(_2\)-SWCNTs (220.6 mg \( \text{L}^{-1} \pm 51.5, \text{mean} \pm \text{SD} \)). The following protocol has been adapted from previous studies [16,17]. A solution of C\(_{16}\)-PEG2k-Ceramide-coated SWCNTs (100 mg \( \text{L}^{-1} \)) SWCNT concentration, 150 \( \mu \text{L} \) in 1 \( \times \) PBS was mixed with a solution of DNA in 1 \( \times \) PBS (100 \( \mu \text{L} \), 10 mg mL\(^{-1}\)). Methanol (90 \( \mu \text{L} \)) was added in increments followed by 5 min of bath sonication. Seven total additions of methanol were added to the solution for a total of 630 \( \mu \text{L} \) of methanol. Isopropanol (300 \( \mu \text{L} \)) was added immediately followed by brief centrifugation (17 000 RCF for \( \approx 1 \text{s} \)) to immediately cause the precipitation of DNAwrapped SWCNTs in a soft pellet. The supernatant was placed in another microcentrifuge tube and centrifuged at 17 000 RCF for 15 min to precipitate remaining DNA. The DNA pellet was resuspended in PBS (400 \( \mu \text{L} \)) and subsequently used to resuspend the DNA-SWCNT pellet. The solution was bath sonicated for 10 min, and then probe-tip sonicated using a Cole Parmer ultrasonic processor and a 3 mm stepped microtip probe with pulses of 3–7 s every 3 s for 15 min. The solution was subsequently allowed to equilibrate at the bench overnight before centrifugation at 16.1 \( \times \) 10\(^3\) RCF for 20 min to remove any unsuspended nanotube aggregates. DNA-SWCNT concentration was calculated from absorbance at 632 nm using Beer–Lambert law with extinction coefficient, \( \varepsilon_{632} = 0.036 \text{ L mg}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \). From the DNA-SWCNT concentration, the percent yield was calculated by dividing mass of DNA-SWCNT product by the initial mass of C\(_{16}\)-PEG2k-Ceramide-coated SWCNTs.

Zeta Potential Measurements: Zeta potential measurements were taken on a Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments). Prior to measurements, SWCNT samples (700 \( \mu \text{L} \), 10 mg \( \text{L}^{-1} \)) were purified of excess salts and DNA or phospholipids by spin-filtering and diluting in MilliQ water. Three replicates of 20 measurements were obtained for each sample after 30 s equilibration.

Streptavidin Bead Affinity Protocol on Biotin-SWCNTs: A solution of SWCNT (10 mg \( \text{L}^{-1} \)) sensors was prepared in binding buffer (300 \( \mu \text{L} \), 20 mM Tris–HCl, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.5). Magnetic streptavidin beads (1 mg, New England Biosciences) were aliquoted into a microcentrifuge tube, a magnet was applied to the side of the tube, and the storage buffer was removed. The beads were washed four times by resuspension in binding buffer (1000 \( \mu \text{L} \)), application of a magnet on the side of the tube, and removal of the supernatant. This wash step was repeated three more times. The SWCNT solution was added to the magnetic streptavidin beads and the tube was shook at a gentle speed for 2 h. The depleted SWCNT sample was collected and the beads were washed three times with binding buffer (1000 \( \mu \text{L} \)).

Atomic Force Microscopy of SWCNT Complexes: Monodispersed SWCNT nanosensors in the presence and absence of neutravidin were analyzed with AFM using an Asylum Research MFP-3D AFM and TAP150AL-G-10 Silicon AFM probes (Ted Pella, tip radius \( <10 \text{ nm} \)). For the addition of neutravidin, SWCNT nanosensors (20 mg \( \text{L}^{-1} \)) were incubated with neutravidin (0.025 mg mL\(^{-1} \)) for 1 h. 20 \( \mu \text{L} \) of SWCNT nanosensors were deposited on freshly cleaved mica and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Unbound nanosensors, protein, and salts were washed from the mica three times using MilliQ water. The surface was subsequently dried under nitrogen. AFM was performed in tapping mode at a scan rate of 0.8 Hz using a sample rate of 512 lines.
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